# Arctic Responses to Polar Sea Ice Loss and CO<sub>2</sub> Forcing: A preliminary analysis of coupled climate models

Kerryn van Rooyen<sup>1</sup>, Paul Kushner<sup>1</sup>, Alexandre Audette<sup>1</sup>, Karen Smith<sup>2</sup> <sup>1</sup>University of Toronto St. George, <sup>2</sup>University of Toronto Scarborough

## **Applied Forcing**

Simulations use the SC-WACCM4 framework, where the ocean and atmosphere are dynamically coupled. Three kinds of forcing are applied:

- Sea Ice Loss is imposed in the Arctic; Antarctic Sea Ice, CO<sub>2</sub> content are held constant
- Sea Ice Loss is imposed in the Antarctic; Arctic Sea Ice, CO<sub>2</sub> content are held constant
- 3) Atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> levels are doubled; Polar Sea Ice is held constant

#### Sea Ice Concentration: Control vs Responses (Arctic, Dec-Feb)

### **Rossby Wave Activity**

**UNIVERSITY OF** 

TORONTO





### Simulations in context

#### Sea Ice Loss

- Sea Ice Loss leads to polar warming, weakening the equator-to-pole temperature gradient, thereby reducing thermally-driven winds
- In these runs, sea ice is controlled with applied heat fluxes and nudging of sea ice concentration

### **Existing Work**

- Research shows that including a dynamic ocean facilitates a more hemi-spherically symmetric warming response
- Emerging evidence suggests that Antarctic Sea Ice Loss can induce a warming signal in the Arctic, and made possible by ocean and atmospheric heat transport

### Rossby Waves move upward and equatorward.

EP Fluxes measure the effect of eddies on zonal wind, from heat and momentum fluxes. They are parallel to the local group velocity for Rossby waves, so they can be used as a basis for Rossby Ray Tracing.



## **Temperature Response**

#### Surface Temperature: Control vs Responses (Arctic, Dec-Feb)



**Above**: With ice loss, local Surface Temperature increases drastically while Eurasian cooling is evident. **Below**: Polar Amplification signals are observed even when Arctic sea ice is held constant. Doubled CO<sub>2</sub> induces stratospheric cooling.

### Air Temperature: Control vs Responses (Arctic, Dec-Feb)

Control: Air Temperature Response to Arctic Forcing: Air Temperature

sponse to Antarctic Forcing:

Air Temperature

Response to CO2 Doubling

Air Temperature



- Wave activity tends towards regions or large, positive n<sup>2</sup> and away from negative n<sup>2</sup>
- Negative n values could indicate easterly winds, as is the case near the equator, but there are many factors that determine the sign of the refractive index (see left.)

### Key points and references

### **Key Points**

- Changes in the Antarctic can have significant effects on the Arctic
- Sea Ice Loss and CO<sub>2</sub> increases may produce competing effects



### Rossby Waves propagate upward and equatorward

#### References

Hoskins, B., & James, I. N. (2014). Fluid Dynamics of the Midlatitude Atmosphere Advancing Weather and Climate Science Series. Holton, J. R., & Rossby, H. T. (2004). International geophysics series. International Geophysics, 88(C), 531–535. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0074-6142(04)80057-3 England, M. R., Polvani, L. M., & Sun, L. (2020). Robust Arctic warming caused by projected Antarctic sea ice loss. Environmental Research Letters, 15(10). https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/abaada

Tomas, R. A., Deser, C., & Sun, L. (2016). The Role of Ocean Heat Transport in the Global Climate Response to Projected Arctic Sea Ice Loss (p. 19). https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-15-0651.1 Blackport, R., & Kushner, P. J. (2017). Isolating the atmospheric circulation response to arctic sea ice loss in the coupled climate system. Journal of Climate, 30(6), 2163–2185. https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-16-0257.1 *Hay\_Thesis\_082120.pdf*. (n.d.).

#### Contact : kerryn.vanrooyen@mail.utoronto.ca