

## Introduction

Temperature plays a key role in governing plant photosynthetic rates, and by extension growth and reproduction. These relationships are critical in agroecosystems, where photosynthetic temperature responses underpin variation in crop function and yield. Generally, crop models expect photosynthesis for a given crop to peak at an optimum temperature, and subsequently declines at higher temperatures due to stomatal closure associated with increased vapour pressure deficit (VPD) at higher temperatures.

While we have a general understanding of how photosynthetic temperature responses vary among crops, less research has focused on quantifying differences among varieties within crop species. In wine grapes (Vitis vinifera, the focus on my study), only a limited number of studies have quantified intraspecific variation variation in temperature responses among the 100s of wine varieties in field conditions.

My research examines photosynthetic temperature responses of six common wine grape varieties, including three red (Cabernet franc, Cabernet sauvignon, Pinot noir) and three white varieties (Riesling, Sauvignon blanc, Viognier). I asked the following research questions :

1. Do wine grape varieties differ in photosynthetic temperature response curves and related parameters (Fig. 2)?

2. If so, do these differences differ systematically across red vs. white varieties?

3. What is the relationship between optimum temperature, photosynthesis rate at optimum temperature, and temperature tolerance across varieties (Fig. 4)?

## Methods

Vines were sampled at a vineyard in Niagara-on-the-Lake, Ontario in July 2023. Three fully developed leaves from different plants were sampled for each variety. Plants were of similar sizes, and all leaves were undamaged, fully exposed, and located on the eastfacing side of each vine.

Photosynthetic temperature response was measured using a LI-6800 portable photosynthesis system. Leaf

temperature was increased from 25-40° C, and photosynthetic rates were logged after stabilization at each temperature point. Other variables controlled in the chamber included light (at 1500 µmol  $m^{-2}$  s<sup>-1</sup>), CO<sub>2</sub> (420 ppm), and an Fig. 1. The LI-6800 absolute water vapour rate (fixed at a executing a temperature relative humidity of 60% at 25°C). response program on a Vitis Statistical analyses were performed vinifera vine, in the using R software.



Niagara vineyard.

## Photosynthetic temperature responses in six common wine grape (*Vitis vinifera*) varieties Tanisha Agarwal & Adam R. Martin Department of Physical and Environmental Sciences, University of Toronto Scarborough, Canada





Fig. 2. Conceptual figure of optimum temperature for photosynthesis  $(T_{opt})$ , photosynthetic rate optimum at width of temperature  $(A_{opt})$ , and temperature response curve ( $\Omega$ ).



Fig. 4. a) Photosynthesis rate at optimum temperature  $(A_{opt})$ ; b) Optimum temperature for photosynthesis  $(T_{opt})$  for each variety; c) Width of the temperature response curve, or  $\Omega$ , for each variety; and d) Relationship between  $\Omega$  and  $A_{opt}$  across varieties.



Cultivation history may explain intraspecific variation in temperature response curves: Sauvignon blanc and Riesling historically thrived in cooler environments vs. Cabernet sauvignon, Cab. franc, Pinot noir, and Viognier. This may potentially explain higher  $A_{opt}$  and  $\Omega$  in these vs. other varieties.

